1GA + Specialized RUBRIC:

Sections	**4 - Exceeds Expectations**	**3 - Meets Expectations**	**2 - Attempts to Meet Expectations**	**1 - Needs Improvement**	**0 - Missing Section**
Past and Current International Action (20%)	Paper does an exceptional job of thoroughly covering past and current international action, is not just a summary of the synopsis, uses multiple reputable sources, and is written using professional language. Does not mention country involvement.	Paper does a good job of covering past and current international action, is not just a summary of the synopsis, uses a couple of reputable sources, and is written using professional language. Does not mention country involvement.	Paper attempts to cover past and current international action, is close to a summary of the synopsis, uses few reputable sources, and is not written entirely in professional language. Might mention country involvement, which is not covered in this section.	Paper briefly covers some relevant past and current international action, but is mostly a summary of the topic synopsis. Uses little to no reputable sources and is not written using professional language. Mentions country involvement, which is not covered in this section.	Section is missing entirely.
Country Policy (20%)	Paper does an exceptional job of thoroughly covering their country's policy and involvement with the topic. It uses multiple reputable sources, and is written using professional language.	Paper does a good job of covering their country's policy and involvement with the topic. It uses a couple of reputable sources, and is written using professional language.	Paper attempts to cover their country's policy and involvement with the topic. It uses few reputable sources, and is not entirely written in professional language.	Paper briefly discusses country's policy and involvement with the topic. It uses little to no reputable sources and is not written using professional language	Section is missing entirely.
Proposed Solutions (40%)	Paper does an exceptional job of explaining in depth and well thought out solutions that perfectly reflect country policy. Solutions are well organized and well researched, keeping in mind feasibility and pre-existing solutions.	Paper does a good job of explaining thought out solutions that reflect country policy. Solutions are well organized and well researched, keeping in mind feasibility and pre-existing solutions.	Paper attempts to propose solutions that somewhat reflect country policy. There was an attempt at organizing and researching solutions, but needed more work and background knowledge to enhance their proposed solutions.	Paper proposes solutions that are either against country policy or are not feasible. Paper does not display much research or understanding of the topic	Section is missing entirely.
Questions to Consider (15%)	Paper followed directions and responded to two questions to consider and clearly identified which two questions they selected to respond to. Responses were well thought out, well researched, and written in a professional matter from the perspective of the country. Shows deep understanding of topic.	Paper followed directions and responded to two questions to consider and clearly identified which two questions they selected to respond to. Responses were reasonably thought out, researched, and written in a professional matter from the perspective of the country. Shows fair understanding of topic.	Paper either followed directions and responded to two questions but somewhat poorly, or only answered one question and did a good job with that one response. Responses attempted to answer question, but fell somewhat short of being well thought out. Shows an okay understanding of topic	Paper either followed directions and responded to two questions but poorly, or only answered one question and did a somewhat fair job with that one response. Responses attempted to answer question, but fell short of being well thought out. Shows a somewhat shallow understanding of topic.	Section is missing entirely.
Chair's Discretion (~5%)	Paper is perfectly formatted, perfectly cited, and only has minor grammatical errors if any at all.	Paper is nearly perfect in formatting, is cited well, and has a few minor grammatical errors.	Paper has minor formatting errors and issues with citations with some grammatical errors.	Paper has major formatting errors and issues with citations with many grammatical errors.	Format entirely not followed. No citations and major grammatical errors.